Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/541,228	HASUMI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Aaron J. Kosar	1651	
All Participants:	Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Aaron J. Kosar</u> .	(3)		
(2) Richard S. Barth.	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>17 July 2007</u>	Time:		
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: N/A.			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: 35 USC 101: product of nature/naturally occuring combinations; 35 USC 112: combinations of components; all claims, in general			
Claims discussed:			
Prior art documents discussed:  IDS of 6/29/2005 with respect to NPL document listing (e.g. Shimizu; Hasumi)			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  The Examiner presented clarification of the grounds of rejection of the Office Action of May 30, 2007. The Applicant will present further arguments and amendments in effort to further prosecution.			
Part III.			
<ul> <li>□ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>			
	-	·	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)			